

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,201	11/18/2003	David H. Burns	2039.017600/KDG (210352)	4127	
37774	7590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER		
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
HOUSTON, 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
	10/716,201	BURNS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William K Cheung	1713	
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply</li> </ul>	oppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ol>	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	· ·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdens 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to line drawing(s) be held in abeyant oction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume 4 See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	" <b></b>	(0.70, 4.40)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/716,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of amendment filed February 16, 2005, non-elected claims 16-19 have been cancelled. Claims 1-15 are pending.

### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 09/923,751. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions share substantially scope of invention.

Art Unit: 1713

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agapiou et al. (US 5,442,019) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 6 of non-final office action issued December 7, 2004.

Applicant's arguments filed December 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the amendment of claim 1 would overcome the 103 rejection set forth because the amended claim would require the

Application/Control Number: 10/716,201 Page 4

**Art Unit: 1713** 

invention to introduce the "same type of catalyst". However, applicants must recognize that applicants' specification fails to define the recited "at least one catalyst" of claim 1. Therefore, regarding "same type", the examiner can broadly define the argued "same type of catalysts" to include all transitional metal containing catalysts to be of the same type. Therefore, the Z-N and metallocene catalysts of Agapiou et al. are of the "same type".

#### **Allowances**

- 6. Claims 7-9, 15 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As of the date of this office action, the examiner has not located or identified any reference that can be used singularly or in combination with another reference including the closest prior art of Agapiou et al. (US 5,442,019) to render the present invention anticipated or obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

### Conclusion

Page 5

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,201

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung

**Primary Examiner** 

February 26, 2005

WILLIAM K CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMENEE. Page 6